



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/323,415		06/01/1999	LARRY T. HARADA	06975/041001	9156
26171	7590	02/24/2004		EXAMI	NER
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON P.C.	NOBAHAR, ABDULHAKIM		
1425 K STREET, N.W. LITH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			2132	17
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/323,415	HARADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Abdulhakim Nobahar	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statul. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35,37-40 and 42-50</u> is/are is/3 Claim(s) <u>36 and 41</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. rejected.	- -
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the latest that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the latest that objected to be shown in the latest that any objection request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the latest that are shown in the latest than the latest that are shown in the latest that a	a) accepted or b) objected to by on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ne correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14. 	D-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2132

Response to Arguments

- 1. This communication is in response to applicants' amendment received on January 26, 2004.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, line 20 through page 13, line 4, filed January 26, 2004, with respect to the rejections of claims 1, 4-14, 18-22, 24-25, 27-28, 30-36 and 38-41 under 35 USC § 102 and Claims 2-3, 15-17, 23, 26, 29, 37 and 42 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 11-15, 18, 22, 25, 28, 31, 33, 38 and 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 12 and 22, Minor discloses a method for accessing remote data repositories (corresponding to the recited target server) by a computer operator (end-user) (see, for example, abstract and col. 2, lines 44-50). The end-user request for accessing a remote site is intercepted by an entry web site server that corresponds to

Art Unit: 2132

the recited proxy server (see, for example, col. 5, lines 25-34). The end-user demographic information is appended to the end-user request (see, for example, col. 1, lines 5-10; col. 2, lines 50-57; col. 3, lines 49-51). Minor also teaches a secure communication and encrypts the demographic information (see, for example, column 4, lines 14-15). Minor further discloses that each remote web site (corresponding to the recited target server) has an associated encryption key (corresponding to the recited session key) that is stored in both entry web site and remote web site servers (see, for example, column 4, lines 34-41; column 6, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 28, Minor discloses that the end-user demographic information (profile information) are stored in and retrieved from a designated database at an entry web site server that corresponds to the recited proxy server based on the end-user and Minor further discloses that the end-user computer and the web servers are coupled to a network via a network interface (see, for example, col. 3, lines 45-59; col. 4, lines 3-6; Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 11, Minor discloses that the client utilizes a web browser to make HTTP requests from the remote web server which is a HTTP server (see, for example, column 1, lines 30-40; column 2, lines 5-55; column 7, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 13-15, 18, 25 and 31, Minor discloses that the entry web site server (corresponding to the recited proxy server) receives a request from user for

Art Unit: 2132

accessing a remote data site. The entry web site server transmits the request to the remote data repository that corresponds to the recited information server as stated above in the rejection of claim 1 (see, for example, column 4, lines 20-25). At the remote data repository the encrypted user demographic information (profile information) is extracted to process user request and to prepare a response for the user (see, for example, column 4, lines 25-44 and Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 33 and 38, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claim 1 above and further the following:

Minor discloses that at the entry web site server (corresponding to the recited proxy server), it is determined that to which remote data repository (corresponding to the recited target server), the user request should be sent (see, for example, column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding claim 43-50, Minor discloses:

The method of claim 13 wherein the encrypted user profile information comprises one or more of demographic information, a history of data requests by a user, age of a user, gender of a user, or interests of a user (see, for example, column 1, lines 47-54; column 3, lines 49-54 and column 4, lines 42-50).

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as setforth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 16, 17, 23, 26, 29, 37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor) in view of Uhler et al (2001/0039587 A1; hereinafter Uhler).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 23, 29 and 37, Minor does not expressly disclose the use of a reference token to be sent to the remote server along with the subsequent client requests. Uhler, however, teaches a method for accessing web server by a client through a proxy server that uses a cookie (corresponding to the recited reference token) (see, for example, page 6, [0093]-[0094], Fig. 2 and Fig. 4). The cookie is received from the web server and it is transmitted back to the web site with the subsequent client requests. The cookie is used to determine if the client has the proper authentication. The cookie is sent to the client by the web server based on the initial authentication of the client which is associated with the demographic information of the client.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the use of cookie (token) as taught in Uhler in the

Art Unit: 2132

system of Minor, because the steps of authenticating the client would not be repeated in the subsequent client attempts to access the web site (see Uhler, page 6, [0093]).

Regarding claim 16, this claim is rejected as applied to the like elements of claim 13 above and further the following:

Uhler teaches that the web server sends a cookie (corresponding to the recited reference token) along with the response to the client (see, for example, page 6, [0093]).

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 2 and 13 as stated above.

Regarding claims 26 and 42, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 2 and 13 above and further the following:

Minor discloses that the remote data repository (web server) stores the extracted user demographic information (profile information) (see column 6, lines 6-16 and lines 46-58).

Claims 7-10, 19-21, 24, 27, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor) in view of Loeb et al (5,245,656; hereinafter Loeb).

Regarding claims 7-10, 19-21, 24, 27, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39 and 40, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 1, 13 and 14 as stated above. However, Minor does not expressly disclose the use of a session key to encrypt the user demographic information and to transmit the session key to a remote site server by using public cryptography. Loeb teaches the use of session keys in transmitting user profile to a remote site (see, for example, col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 11 and col. 3, line 1-10). The session keys are transmitted between the servers by use of public key cryptography.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the use public key cryptographic technique to transmit a session key from one server to another server as taught in Loeb in the system of Minor, because it would provide secure transmission of session key over a public network (see col. 3, lines 18-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/323,415 Page 8

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdulhakim Nobahar whose telephone number is 703-305-8074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdulhakim Nobahar Examiner Art Unit 2132 A.M.

AN February 18, 2004

GILBERTO BARRÓN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100